	Case 2:25-cv-01635-DAD-SCR Docume	ent 4 F	Filed 08/13/25	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9				
10	ALBERT BONDS, Jr.,	No.	2:25-cv-01635-D	OAD-SCR
11	Plaintiff,			
12	v.	FINI	DINGS AND RE	COMMENDATIONS
13	JOHN V. DIANA, et al.,			
14	Defendants.			
15				
16	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se in this action, which is referred to the undersigned pursuant			
17	to Local Rule 302(c)(21) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). On June 13, 2025, Plaintiff filed a complaint			
18	and a motion to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP"). ECF Nos. 1 and 2. On July 2, 2025, this			
19	Court screened the complaint per the screening process required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) and			
20	found the complaint was deficient in that it failed to plead a basis for federal jurisdiction, did not			
21	comply with Rule 8, and failed to state a claim. ECF No. 3. The Court's order provided in			
22	relevant part that Plaintiff shall have 30 days to file an amended complaint that addresses the			
23	defects set forth in the order. ECF No. 3 at 6. The Order warned that failure to comply may			
24	result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed. <i>Id.</i> More than 30 days have passed, and			
25	Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.			
26	Accordingly, IT IS RECOMMENDED that the action be dismissed without prejudice for:			
27	1. Failure to establish a basis for federal jurisdiction, failure to comply with Rule 8, and			
28		_		
		1		

failure to state a claim, as explained in the Court's screening order (ECF No. 3); and 2. Failure to comply with a court order. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 110. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judges Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: August 13, 2025 SEAN C. RIORDAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE